

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/964,503 09/28/2001		Itaru Fukushima	K-2009	2559		
7	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER			
KANESAKA AND TAKEUCHI 1423 Powhatan Street			KIM, PE	KIM, PETER B		
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2051			

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					γ.
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/964,50	3	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Peter B. k		2851	
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	dress
THE N - Extens after S - If the s - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it is ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ys, a reply within the statu y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tir story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the closed in t	This action is neallowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applica) Of the above claim(s) is/are was Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from cor			
Application	on Papers				
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	` '
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ A a)⊠ 	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action form	uments have been uments have been ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/964,503

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Applicant's arguments filed on Dec. 12, 2003 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 1, the written description discloses the developing roller with feeding speed different from the first feeding means; however, the developing roller does not teach feeding the recording medium to the post-processing means. Thus, it is not clear which structures correspond to the first feeding means and the second feeding means.

Regarding Claim 12, "overlapping" of "another feed path" and "switch back section" is not clear.

The remaining claims, not specifically mentioned, are rejected for incorporating the defects from the base claim by dependency.

The following art rejection is based on the examiner's best understanding of the indefinite claims under 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al. (Eto) (5,316,883).

Eto discloses a printer (Fig. 4) comprising preprocessing means (P1) for preprocessing a surface of a recording media (2) or exposing the recording media to form an image on the surface of the recording media; post-processing means (P2) for post-processing the surface or developing by applying pressure, a recording media feed path (A, B in Fig. 4), first feed means upstream of the recording media feed path (although not indicated inherent in Eto's invention to feed the media to preprocessing means, second feed means (3) arranged on a down stream side of the feed path wherein the first and second feed means are independently controlled (col. 3, lines 61-65). Eto also discloses the feed speed of the media that is slower at the pressure roller than at the first and second feed means (col. 3, line 61 – col. 5, line 23). Eto discloses the switchback path (A, B) extending from the main section for extending a length of the main section. Office Notice is taken that it is well known in the art of a printer a recording medium in a form of a separated sheet is used in a printer as well as a medium in a roll form. Although Eto does not disclose medium in a form of a separated sheet, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the recording medium in a sheet form since the medium in a sheet form does not require cutting device or a take up roller which simplifies the structure

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al. (Eto) (5,316,883) in view of Kamanuma et al. (Kamanuma) (6,256,473).

Eto discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Eto does not discloses running the second feed means while the first feed means is stopped, having a switchback section installed vertically, switching gate and the second feed means, which is reversible. Kamanuma discloses a printer with a preprocessing and a post-processing, a first feed means (177), a reversible second feed means (192) in the vertical switchback section and switching gate (181). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the features of Kamanuma to the invention of Eto because the switchback portion of Kamanuma would be an effective buffer region for the invention of Eto before the media is transferred to the development section after being exposed.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al. (Eto) in view of Kamanuma et al. (Kamanuma) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Tsuzawa (6,243,157).

The further difference between the claimed invention and the modified Eto is the cutting section and the third feed path. Tsuzawa discloses the cutting means (88) and the third feeding means (not indicated by reference in Tsuzawa but shown in section (80). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the features of Tsuzawa to the invention of Eto in order to improve processing without wasting the recording media and processing time as taught by Tsuzawa in col. 1, lines 43-59.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al. (Eto) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ota et al. (Ota) (6,539,196).

The further difference between the claimed invention and the modified Eto is the cleaning roller. Ota discloses the cleaning roller (54) in a printer. Therefore, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the cleaning roller of Ota to the invention of Eto in order to clean the deposits on the surface as taught in col. 6, lines 15-20 of Eto.

Remarks

Applicant argues that Eto does not disclose the switchback portion; however, Eto does disclose the switchback portion (A, B) in Fig. 4. Applicant also argues that Eto does not teach feeding means feeding at different speeds; however, the claimed invention also seems to lack such feeding means.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2851. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 –272-2800.

Peter B. Kim
Patent Examiner

February 3, 2004